UNIVERSIDAD PARA LA COOPERACION INTERNACIONAL (UCI)

# DESIGNING A STRATEGIC PMO FOR THE ACADEMIC HOSPITAL PARAMARIBO (AZP)

VALIES JANICE HAYDEE CHERISE

FINAL GRADUATION PROJECT SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE MASTER IN PROJECT MANAGEMENT (MPM) DEGREE

**PARAMARIBO, SURINAME** 

**DECEMBER 2019** 

## UNIVERSIDAD PARA LA COOPERACION INTERNACIONAL (UCI)

This Final Graduation Project was approved by the University as partial fulfillment of the requirements to opt for the Master in Project Management (MPM) Degree

## <u>Evelyn Hernández Rojas</u> TUTOR

<u>Eneida del Socorro Góngora Sánchez</u> REVIEWER No.1

> <u>Alberto Redondo</u> REVIEWER No.2

Valies Janice Haydee Cherise STUDENT

## DEDICATION

This project is dedicated to family.

To my mother, who undoubtedly supported and encouraged me since I signed up for the MPM program. I thank her for praying for me, for believing in me, and for always being my source of strength throughout this program.

To my daughter, the reason I entered the MPM Program at UCI, was to ensure that I could provide a better future for you. I thank you for your patience and unknowingly encouraging me to cross the finish line when I did not have energy after playtime.

To my partner, thank you for your love, patience, understanding, and pushing me until the end. For always showing interest and trusting the progress.

With love, thank you!

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Firstly, I would like to acknowledge the Academic Hospital for giving me the opportunity to do this research. The feedback from colleagues has been of great help throughout the research. To Ms. Claudia Marica-Redan, thank you for believing in my skills and trusting me to develop them at AZP this last year.

To Ms. Evelyn Hernández, for giving me the needed support and feedback when necessary. For being so easy to communicate with throughout this process. Along with all of the other professors, facilitators and Gabriela at UCI, you provided what was needed to complete this project and program successfully.

Lastly, I must thank that big man upstairs for providing me with the right set of tools, patience, strength, and guidance that was all incredibly needed these last two years.

With much gratitude for this experience, I sincerely thank you!

## INDEX OF CONTENTS

AP	PROVAL PAGE	i
DE	DICATION	iii
AC	KNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
INE	DEX OF CONTENTS	v
INE	DEX OF FIGURES	vii
INE	DEX OF CHARTS	viii
AB	BREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	ix
ΕX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY (ABSTRACT)	10
1	INTRODUCTION	11
	1.1 Background	11
	1.2 Statement of the problem	11
	1.3 Purpose	12
	1.4 General objective	12
	1.5 Specific objectives	12
2	THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	13
	2.1. Company/Enterprise framework	13
	2.1.1 Company/Enterprise background	13
	2.1.2 Mission and vision statements	13
	2.1.3 Organizational structure	14
	2.1.4 Products offered	15
	2.2 Project Management Concepts	15
	2.2.1 Project	15
	2.2.2 Project Management	16
	2.2.3 Project Life Cycle	16
	2.2.4 Project Management Processes	17
	2.2.5 Project Management Knowledge Areas	18
	2.3 Project Management Maturity	20
	2.3.1 Project Management Maturity Levels	21
	2.3.2 Project Management Maturity Model (PMMM)	21
	2.3.3 Capability Maturity Model (CMM)	23
	2.3.4 Organizational Project Management Maturity Model (OPM3)	25
	2.3.5 Kerzner Project Management Maturity Model (K-PMMM)	25
3	METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK	27
	3.1 Information sources	27

	3.1.1 Primary sources	27
	3.1.2 Secondary sources	27
	3.2 Research methods	
	3.2.1 Analytical method	
	3.3 Tools	29
	3.4 Assumptions and constraints	30
	3.5 Deliverables	31
4	RESULTS	33
	4.1 Project Management Office Analysis	33
	4.1.1 Supportive PMOs	33
	4.1.2 Controlling PMOs	34
	4.1.3 Directive PMOs	34
	4.1.4 Most Suitable PMO for AZP	34
	4.2 Maturity Analysis	35
	4.3 Establish PMO in AZP	39
	4.3.1 Roles and Responsibilities to AZP's PMO	41
	4.4 Develop a PMO Implementation Plan for AZP	43
5	CONCLUSIONS	45
	5.1 General Objectives	46
6	RECOMMENDATIONS	47
7	BIBLIOGRAPHY	48
8	APPENDICES	49
	8.1 Appendix 1: FGP Charter	49
	8.2 Appendix 2: FGP WBS	51
	8.3 Appendix 3: FGP Schedule	52
	8.4 Appendix 4: FGP Approval Letter	53
	8.5 Appendix 5: Linguist Credentials	54

## **INDEX OF FIGURES**

Figure 1 Organizational structure	4
Figure 2 Project Life Cycle	7
Figure 3 Project Management Knowledge Areas	9
Figure 4 Project Management Maturity Model (PMMM)	.13
Figure 5 Kerzner's Project Management Maturity Model (K-PMMM)	.16
Figure 6 Project Management Office (PMO)	.22
Figure 7 Proposed Organizational Structure	.29

## **INDEX OF CHARTS**

Chart 1 Capability Levels for CMM	.14
Chart 2 Maturity Levels for CMM	.14
Chart 3 Information Sources	.18
Chart 4 Research Methods	.19
Chart 5 Tools	.22
Chart 6 Assumptions and Constraints	.21
Chart 7 Deliverables	.21
Chart 8 Project Management Maturity Survey/Results	.24
Chart 9 Project Management Maturity Results	.26
Chart 10 PMO's Responsibilites	.30

### ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AMC-SU – Academic Medical Center Suriname

AZP – Academic Hospital Paramaribo

CEO – Chief xecutive Officer

CFO – Chief nancial Officer

CHO – Community Health Officer

CMM – Capability Maturity Model

CNO – Chief Nursing Officer

ER – Emergency Room

FGP – Final Graduation Project

K-PMMM – Kerzner Project Management Model

OPM3 – Organizational Project Management Maturity Model

PMBOK – Project Management Body of Knowledge

PMI – Project Management Institute

PMMM = Project Management Maturity Model

PMO – Project Management Office

UNSW – University of New South Wales

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (ABSTRACT)**

Project Management Offices are not a very common part of organization structures in Suriname. To me it was a new topic introduced during the Master in Project Management courses. This document explains the processes used and steps taken to design a strategic Project Management Office for the Academic Hospital Paramaribo.

The Academic Hospital Paramaribo had its original opening in March 1966 under the name "The Central Hospital", but was operational since the 18<sup>th</sup> of September 1965. Since 1973, the Hospital was put under the authority of the Ministry of Health. It is the biggest hospital in Suriname and is operational at six different locations throughout Paramaribo and has over 70 buildings. The hospital has 2100 employees that include medical specialists, physicians, nurses, nursing personnel, and administrative personnel. AZP is the only hospital that provides some specialized treatments such as Cardiac disorders, ER traumas, Eye Care services, and Radiotherapy care.

Within the current organizational structure of this Hospital, there are several project managers that are overseeing current and upcoming projects. Their roles consist of ensuring project duration, budget, and delivery is consistent with the original plans. The problem the AZP faces is that because of the lack of a PMO within the organizational structure, some project managers can get carried away and forget their roles, responsibilities and functions. In addition to that, often times project managers give their input for projects that are not under their lead. Which causes unnecessary delay in projects.

The purpose of this research is built around and with the Strategic Plan 2020's mission and vision in mind. This is a transformation build on Patient Care, Medical Researches, Medical Education, and Paramedical Training. The purpose is to design a suitable PMO for the Academic Hospital which can effectively direct and manage different construction projects during the transformation phase with the use of Project Management Processes and Procedures.

The general objective for this project is to develop a Strategic Project Management Office for the Academic Hospital Paramaribo to further utilize these Project Management skills in construction projects done by and for the hospital. The specific objectives are to analyze different PMO styles, their characteristics and functions, and determine the most suitable for the AZP, perform a maturity analysis to determine AZP its organizational needs, and to establish the suitable PMO's position, roles, and level of authority in the organization, in order to improve the maturity of AZP. Developing an implementation plan is the last specific objective for this study.

With the use of the following research strategies, the objectives were met. The methodologies employed in this research were based on literature reviews of similar researches using the analytical research method. This analytical research allowed for an indepth analysis of the organization. The tools used are questions asked during meetings, expert judgment, analytical techniques, and maturity model tools. The results of this research will determine the maturity of the organization and a suitable PMO for the Academic Hospital Paramaribo.

#### **1** INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

The Academic Hospital Paramaribo in Suriname has been operational since September 18<sup>th</sup> 1965, but had its original opening in March 1966 under the name "The Central Hospital". Because of the proclamation of the Faculty of Medical Science, the name of the hospital changed to the Academic Hospital Paramaribo (AZP) in 1969. Since 1973, the Hospital was put under the authority of the Suriname Government, namely the Ministry of Health.

The AZP is operational at six different locations in Paramaribo and has over 70 buildings. With 26 medical wards and 2 laboratories, the hospital handles around 300.000 treatments per year, more than 50.000 emergency care cases, has an annual admission of 23.000 patients and has a bed capacity of 510 beds with 97% capacity. The hospital has 2100 employees including medical specialists, physicians, nurses, nursing personnel, and administrative personnel. The AZP is the biggest hospital in Suriname and for some specialized treatments such as Cardiac disorder, ER trauma, Eye Care and Radiotherapy the AZP is the only hospital with the medical specialism.

#### **1.2 Statement of the problem**

Within the current organizational structure of this Hospital, several project managers are overseeing current and upcoming projects. Their roles consist of ensuring project duration, budget, and delivery is consistent with the original plans. They report to the director and work closely with construction companies on one side and the end users, their AZP colleagues, on the other hand. Though this has been the case for the last six to seven years, and has proven to work for the management of projects, there is no "third party" besides the staff and board of trustees.

The problem the AZP faces is that because of the lack of a PMO within the organizational structure, some project managers can get carried away and forget their roles, responsibilities and functions. Another problem is that many different project managers are giving input for one project, causing unnecessary delay in projects. Project Managers do not see eye to eye on certain problems, and personal benefit sometimes can play a part when having to make critical decisions.

### 1.3 Purpose

In august 2013, the AZP completed its new Strategic Plan with the SP2020 mission and vision. This centers on the transformation from the Academic Hospital to an Academic Medical Center for whole Suriname (AMC-SU). This transformation will be founded on three pillars: Patient Care, Medical Research, Medical Education and Paramedical Training with the focus on the following:

- Restructuring: financial stabilization and cost management
- Integrated building –and construction plan
- Organization
- Setting up Centers of Excellence
- Decentralization of care

With the SP2020 in mind, the purpose of this research is to design a suitable PMO for the AZP, which can effectively direct and manage different construction projects during the transformation phase with the use of Project Management Processes and Procedures. This research will result in specific documentation and templates to be used by the PMO during construction projects and will benefit AZP in every level of planning and execution.

Installing a successful Project Management Office in this organization will have the following benefits for the Academic Hospital Paramaribo:

- Align all projects with the strategic plan 2020 in mind
- Deliver all projects on time, within budget, and according to set scope
- Understand the relationships between different projects
- Improve communication skills within project teams and among stakeholders.

## 1.4 General objective

To develop a Strategic Project Management Office for the Academic Hospital Paramaribo to further utilize Project Management skills in construction projects done by and for the hospital.

## 1.5 Specific objectives

1) Analyze different PMO styles, their characteristics and functions, and

determine the most suitable for the AZP

- 2) Perform a maturity analysis to determine AZP its organizational needs
- 3) Establish the suitable PMO's position, roles, and level of authority in the organization, in order to improve the maturity AZP
- 4) Develop a PMO implementation plan for AZP

## 2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

## 2.1. Company/Enterprise framework

This research is conducted in Paramaribo, Suriname within the Academic Hospital of Paramaribo (AZP). AZP is the biggest medical institution in the capital of Suriname and is for some medical procedures and treatments the only equipped and capable facility.

## 2.1.1 Company/Enterprise background

The AZP its main commercial function is to provide medical care and treatment to patients that are sick or injured.

## 2.1.2 Mission and vision statements

*Mission:* We stand for high quality and accessible healthcare for everyone. In our continuous pursuit of excellent care, we are driven by customer focus, scientific research and innovative power.

*Vision:* We are the leading Academic Medical Center in Suriname and the region, based on customer-oriented services from Centers of Excellence, which are staffed by innovative, expert and passionate teams of professionals. We distinguish ourselves through accessible and personal care, strengthened by the trust of the total community.

## 2.1.3 Organizational structure

The organization structure consists of the following levels and sublevels, and their relationships. Seeing the sensitive purpose of this research not all levels where added and no names were added to these positions.



Figure 1 Organizational structure (Source: Author, 2019)

#### 2.1.4 Products offered

The AZP is operational at six different locations in Paramaribo and has over 70 buildings. With 26 medical wards and 2 laboratories, the hospital handles around 300.000 treatments per year, more than 50.000 emergency care cases, has an annual admission of 23.000 patients and has a bed capacity of 510 beds with 97% capacity. This does not only mean that patients are being helped, but it also means that a lot of maintenance is necessary. Seventy (70) buildings with all for example an air-conditioning installation system need to have regular checkups and maintenance. Most of the maintenance work is the responsibility of the Facilities Department (inhouse maintenance), Real Estate (out-sourcing maintenance) and Medical Equipment (in –and outhouse maintenance of medical equipment) departments.

#### 2.2 Project Management Concepts

The Project Management Institute (PMI) defines The Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK) as a term that describes the knowledge within the profession of project management. (PMBOK, sixth edition, p.1). By applying a set of key skills and knowledge to satisfy customer's needs as managers, project management principles, processes, tools, and techniques began to form what is now known as the content of the PMBOK.

#### 2.2.1 Project

A project is a temporary endeavor undertaken to create a unique product, service, or result. They are taken to fulfill objectives by producing deliverables. (PMBOK, sixth edition, p.4). Projects are temporary, but can have deliverables that can last a lifetime, way beyond the end of the project. They can also drive change within an organization, meaning it can move an organization from one state to another by achieving specific objectives. For the purpose of this research, a project shall be a proposal for designing a Project Management Office (PMO) for the AZP.

### 2.2.2 Project Management

Project Management is the application of knowledge, skills, tools, and techniques to project activities to meet the project requirements. It is accomplished through the correct application and integration of the project management processes identified for the project and it enables organizations to execute projects effectively and efficiently. (PMBOK, sixth edition, p. 10).

## 2.2.3 Project Life Cycle

The series of phases that a project passes through from its start to its completion is considered a project life cycle. This project life cycle is managed by executing a series of project management activities known as the project management processes, which produces one or more outputs from one or more inputs by using appropriate project management tools and techniques. (PMBOK, sixth edition). These processes are:

- Initiating Process
- Planning Process
- Executing Process
- Monitoring and Controlling Process
- Closing Process

The Academic Hospital has protocol processes for the different departments. From the marketing department to the hospital kitchen that prepares and delivers food for the patients.



Figure 2 Project Life Cycle (Source: Author, 2019)

## 2.2.4 Project Management Processes

The traditional approach to project management has proven to result in positive projects for project leaders. Especially those project leaders that take their time to learn how the project management processes overlap throughout all the phases of the project. Though each project is different, every project goes through the different stages of these process groups. Project management processes are a systematic series of activities directed towards causing an end result where one or more inputs will be acted upon to create one or more outputs. (PMBOK, sixth edition, p.18). These five (5) processes are:

- Initiating Process: involves the processes, activities, and skills needed to effectively define the beginning of a project.
- Planning Process: involves the processes that are needed to define the scope, goals, expectations, infrastructure, strategic plans, and priority lists.

- Executing Process: involves the effective management of the team while keeping in mind the project timeline.
- Monitoring and Controlling Process: involves the processes that are concerned with managing all the changes, contributions, mitigations, and unforeseen circumstances that may affect the project team to meet project objectives.
- *Closing Process*: involves the processes that ensure projects end on time and within budget, and that all necessary paperwork is submitted on time.

## 2.2.5 Project Management Knowledge Areas

The project management processes cover ten knowledge areas. A knowledge area is an identified area of project management defined by its knowledge requirements and described in terms of its (PMBOK, sixth edition, p.23); All 10 knowledge areas consist of their own processes, practices, inputs, tools, and techniques. These are:

- I. Integration Management
- II. Scope Management
- III. Time Management
- IV. Cost Management
- V. Quality Management
- VI. Procurement Management
- VII. Human Resource Management
- VIII. Communications Management
- IX. Risk Management
- X. Stakeholder Management



Figure3ProjectManagementKnowledgeAreas (Source: Author,2019)

For this research, designing a strategic PMO for AZP, these knowledge areas will be followed, with the exception of cost and procurement management. The knowledge areas, established in the PMBOK Guide - sixth edition that will apply to this research are:

 Integration Management: this is the beginning phase of the project, resulting in developing the project charter. The processes involve identifying what the project is, initiating the project, and integrating it into one body of work. This area resulted in developing the Project Charter, directing and managing project work and project knowledge, performing integrated change control when making necessary corrections, and closing project or phase.

- Scope Management: this area is responsible for defining the different processes required to ensure that the project consist of all the necessary components to successfully complete the project. This phase will result in finishing the FGP.
- *Time Management:* includes the processes responsible for completing the project on time. This resulted in the FGP project schedule.
- Quality Management: this area is responsible for ensuring that the quality of the objectives are met in a responsible manner. These results will be given in the weekly feedback by the professor and the feedback we will receive from tutors and reviewers.
- *Human Resources Management:* the area responsible for organizing and managing the project team. This will result in a new organizational structure after designing a PMO for AZP.
- Communication Management: includes the processes that ensure positive communication skills throughout the project. This started with getting project approval from the director of the AZP, and continues by staying in constant contact with the professor.
- *Risk Management:* these processes allow conducting plan risk management, risk identification plan, qualitative and quantitative risk analysis as well as the risk response plan.
- *Stakeholder Management:* includes the processes necessary to identify the groups or individuals who may be impacted by the outcome of this research. The stakeholders listed in the project charter are the result of this knowledge area.

## 2.3 Project Management Maturity

The Cambridge Dictionary defines maturity as the quality of behaving mentally and emotionally like an adult or on a larger scale, it can be defined as being in a very advanced or developed form or state. When it comes to Project Management Maturity, it refers to the progressive development of maturity within an organization with a project management approach, its methodologies, strategies, and decisionmaking processes. The level of organizational maturity, also referred to as maturity levels, varies based on an organization's goals, strategies, resources, values and needs.

### 2.3.1 Project Management Maturity Levels

There are five levels of Project Management Maturity, which together make up the first dimension of the Project Management Maturity Model (PMMM). These five (5) maturity levels are:

<u>Level 1</u>: Initial Process – at this level there is very little control, it is hard to predict how an organization will react when faced with a crisis. A company stuck in this level is unlikely to be able to reproduce success on a consistent basis.

<u>Level 2</u>: Structured Process and Standards – at the second level there are some basic project management practices, but often only at an individual project level.

<u>Level 3</u>: Organizational Standards and Institutionalized Process – this level indicates well-defined project management procedures, which are documented and used as a standard of operations. Such organizations generally act proactively rather than reactively.

<u>Level 4</u>: Managed Process – at this level an organization reflects one that measures project performance with well-defined metrics. Common metrics are used to manage business decisions and processes.

<u>Level 5</u>: Optimizing Process – when at this level the organization focuses on deliberate and continual process improvement. At this level, an organization seeks improvement with innovative techniques that are new to other organizations.

The level of maturity of an organization can be determined with the results of a survey conducted within an organization. From the results, one can determine at which maturity level an organization is at and how to improve project performance.

## 2.3.2 Project Management Maturity Model (PMMM)

The Project Management Maturity Model contributes to the evolution of the PMO from immature to mature level through addressing appropriate project management practices. (Sokhanvar, Matthews & Yarlagadda, 2014) The Project Management Maturity Model consist of a two dimensional framework. The Maturity levels named earlier form the first dimension, while the second dimension is formed by the Project Management knowledge areas that is broken down into specific components that can measure maturity and develop action plans.

Project Management Maturity Models are designed to provide the framework that an

organization needs to purposefully and progressively develop its capabilities to deliver projects successfully project after project. (Pennypacker & Grant, 2003). Project Management Maturity Models have been developed to address associated practices to establish the Project Management Office and provides best practices and road maps to improve project portfolio practices. Measuring project management maturity typically begins with measurement and assessment of existing project management maturity with best practices standard of project management maturity. This then provides a comparison of project management capabilities with which we can improve the maturity level. There are different PMMMs all with different characteristics and criteria, some of the widely used are:

- 1) Capability Maturity Model (CMM)
- 2) Organizational Project Management Maturity Model (OPM3)
- 3) Kerzner Project Management Maturity Model (K-PMMM)

For the purpose of this research, the Kerzner Project Management Maturity Model will be used and therefor discussed in more detail than OPM3 and CMMI. The Kerzner's PMMM is at times even compared to a doctor's work, because it can diagnose the health of an organization.



Figure 4 Project Management Maturity Model (PMMM) (Source: Project Management Solutions Inc., 2013)

## 2.3.3 Capability Maturity Model (CMM)

The best-known model is the Capability Maturity Model. It was the first maturity model and formed the basis of the technical delivery process models and the Project Management Process Models. It was presented by Software Engineering Institute (SEI) division of Camegie Mellon University in 1991 and was targeted at software organizations. This model helps to determine and analyze the current level of process maturity within an organization. The level of maturity identifies the issues that need to be overcome to achieve maturity. In software organizations, these issues are used to determine process improvement strategies. This model has two approaches: Continuous Representation and Staged Representation. **Continuous Representation** – offers a detailed image of an organization's processes. It will allow an organization to evaluate process areas individually, and it is the representation commonly used in process improvement, because it allows identifying and focusing on trouble spots, and measuring improvement progress on a finer-grained scale. Capability levels are used to measure the improvement path from an unperformed process. (Constantinescu and Lacob, 2007). The continuous representation gives a good understanding of the relationships and dependencies among the process areas.

Identifier	Capability Level	
0	Incomplete	
1	Performed	
2	Managed	
3	Defined	
4	Quantitatively Managed	
5	Optimizing	

#### Chart 1 Capability Levels for CMM

(Source: Constantinescu and Lacob, 2007)

**Staged Representation –** similar to the other model it is measured on organizational level and provides an overall view of the organization. It provides a higher-level view of the entire organization, and a simple, straightforward, easily understandable label, with more direct commercial/business implications. This model provides as a standardized measure the entire organization's maturity level. (Constantinescu and Lacob, 2007)

#### **Chart 2 Maturity Levels for CMM**

Identifier	Maturity Level
1	Initial
2	Managed
3	Defined

4	Quantitatively Managed	
5 Optimizing		
(Source: Constantinescu and Lacob, 2007)		

CMM provides an interconnected and stable model, with more detailed coverage of the product life cycle than other process-improvement alternative products. It joins software engineering and systems engineering into product engineering, therefor providing organizations with a powerful integrated toolset. (Constantinescu and Lacob, 2007) It can be said that even though CMM was initially focused on product and service engineering, it is also designed for other disciplines as well. It can support enterprise-wide process improvements.

## 2.3.4 Organizational Project Management Maturity Model (OPM3)

The Organizational Project Management Maturity Model is a mechanism to advance an organization's strategic interests through the efficient and successful execution of projects. (PMI, 2004) It is a maturity model developed between 1998 and 2013 by a team of volunteers from the Project Management Institute. It is aligned with the PMBOK and aims to measure the level of maturity of projects and practices, based on best practices as its methodology for assessment.

In OPM3 we compare organizational activities with a large number of standardized best practices by measuring them in project, program, and portfolio management. (Langston and Ghanbaripour, 2016) OPM3 is classified into the following four levels (Pinto and Williams, 2013):

- Standardize: structured processes are adopted
- Measure: data is used to evaluate process performance
- Control: control plan developed for measures
- Continuously improve: processes are optimized

### 2.3.5 Kerzner Project Management Maturity Model (K-PMMM)

Kerzner put forth his Project Management Maturity model in 2002 based on knowledge areas of the PMBOK. Kerzner's maturity model uses the PMBOK guide and follows the Capability Maturity Model Integration approach to address Project Management development by providing five levels of maturity. These levels are measured in stages as shown in the figure below:



Figure 5 Kerzner's Project Management Maturity Model (K-PMMM) (Source: Project Management Institute, 2014)

<u>Level 1</u> — Common language: "In this level, the organization recognizes the importance of project management and the need for a good understanding of the basic knowledge on project management and the accompanying language/terminology (Kerzner, 2002)".

<u>Level 2</u> — Common processes: "In this level, the organization recognizes that common processes need to be defined and developed such that successes on one project can be repeated on other projects. Also included in this level is the recognition of the application and support of the project management principles to other methodologies employed by the company (Kerzner, 2002)".

<u>Level 3</u> — Singular methodology: "In this level, the organization recognizes the synergistic effect of combining all corporate methodologies into a singular methodology, the center of which is project management. The synergistic effects also make process control easier with a single methodology than with multiple methodologies (Kerzner, 2002)".

<u>Level 4</u> — Benchmarking: This level contains the recognition that process improvement is necessary to maintain a competitive advantage. Benchmarking must be performed on a continuous basis. The company must decide whom to benchmark and what to benchmark (Kerzner, 2002)".

<u>Level 5</u> — Continuous improvement: "In this level, the organization evaluates the information obtained through benchmarking and must then decides whether or not this information will enhance the singular methodology (Kerzner, 2002)".

#### 3 METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

#### 3.1 Information sources

For this research project, we can define information resources as all the information in what form, type, or size that can benefit to the successful completion of this research. Information resources can be any website, database, library, or location where useful information can be gathered.

### 3.1.1 Primary sources

Primary sources provide a first-hand account of an event or time period and are considered authoritative (UNSW Library, May 2019). Primary sources often represent new information, developed from original thinking or discoveries during the research. For this FGP the primary sources used are:

- Interviews with directing staff and other key stakeholders
- Organizational documents

### 3.1.2 Secondary sources

Secondary sources offer an analysis, interpretation or a restatement of primary sources and are considered to be persuasive (UNSW Library, may 2019). Secondary sources are often used to convince a group of an argument by involving generalizations, synthesis, and personal interpretation or evaluation. For this FGP the secondary sources used are:

- The PMBOK Guide, sixth edition
- Articles on PMO's and their roles in organizations
- Articles on the functionality of combining PMO's
- Publications by Harold Kerzner on Maturity analysis
- Articles on Maturity Analysis and their results

## **Chart 3 Information sources**

Objectives	Information sources	
Objectives	Primary	Secondary
Analyze different PMO styles, their	AZP Organizational	Articles on PMO's
characteristics and functions, and	Structure	and their roles in
determine the most suitable for the		organizations.
AZP		
Perform a maturity analysis to	Interview with directing	- Publications on
determine AZP its organizational	staff and other key	Harold Kerzner on
needs.	stakeholders	Maturity analysis
		- Articles on Maturity
		analysis and their
		results
Establish the suitable PMO's	Interview with directing	Articles on the
position, roles, and level of	staff and other key	functionality of
authority in the organization, in	stakeholders (HR	combining PMO's
order to improve the maturity AZP	Department)	
Develop a PMO implementation plan	-	Articles on PMO
for AZP		Implementation
		methods.

(Source, Author 2019)

## 3.2 Research methods

Research method as defined in the Cambridge Business English Dictionary is a particular way of studying something in order to discover new information about it or understand it better. It can be seen as the strategy one uses to answer a research question. For the purpose of this research, the analytical research method will be utilized.

## 3.2.1 Analytical method

The analytical research method is a type of research that involves critical thinking skills and the evaluation of facts and information relative to the research being conducted. From this research, one can find new ideas to the material being produced with the use of articles, data and other important facts. From this information, a

hypothesis can be proven or an idea can be supported. (What is Analytical Research, November 2016)

Objectives	Research Methods	
	Analytical Research Method	
Analyze different PMO styles,	To assess the current	
their characteristics and functions,	organization structure and how	
and determine the most suitable	and where a PMO will fit into	
for the AZP	the organization	
Perform a maturity analysis to	To assess the maturity status	
determine AZP its organizational	of the organization	
needs.		
Establish the suitable PMO's	To assess the PMO their roles	
position, roles, and level of	and functions within this	
authority in the organization, in	organization.	
order to improve the maturity AZP		
Develop a PMO	To provide a helpful plan,	
implementation plan for AZP	critically thought through and	
	worked out, when installing a	
	РМО	

## **Chart 4 Research Methods**

(Source, Author 2019)

## 3.3 Tools

Research tools are any instrument, mechanism, or appliance used during a research. Using these tools can facilitate the research. The tools used for this research are: meetings, expert judgment, analytical techniques, and maturity model tools.

### **Chart 5 Tools**

Objectives	Tools
Analyze different PMO styles, their characteristics and	- Expert judgment
functions, and determine the most suitable for the AZP	- Analytical techniques
Perform a maturity analysis to determine AZP its	- Maturity model tools
organizational needs.	- Meetings
Establish the suitable PMO's position, roles, and level of	- Meetings
authority in the organization, in order to improve the	- Expert judgment
maturity AZP	- Analytical techniques
Develop a PMO implementation plan for AZP	- Expert judgement
	- Stakeholders input
	- PMO research

(Source, Author 2019)

## 3.4 Assumptions and constraints

For any research, it is essential to make assumptions and define constraints that accompany those assumptions. According to the Cambridge Business English Dictionary, an assumption is something that you accept as true without question or proof. A constraint on the other hand is defined as something that controls what you do by keeping you within particular limits. (Cambridge Dictionary). For the purpose of this research, the assumptions and constraints shown in the table below are made.

## **Chart 6 Assumptions and constraints**

Objectives	Assumptions	Constraints
Analyze different PMO styles, their	A strategic PMO is	A PMO seems
characteristics and functions, and	necessary in AZP's	unnecessary in the
determine the most suitable for the	organizational	organization
AZP	structure	
Perform a maturity analysis to	AZP will show a	AZP shows high level
determine AZP its organizational	medium level of	of maturity
needs.	maturity after	
	analysis	
Establish the suitable PMO's	A directive PMO will	The directing staff
position, roles, and level of	fit in AZPs	doesn't deem a PMO
authority in the organization, in	organizational	necessary within the
order to improve the maturity AZP	structure	organization
Develop a PMO	A three phased	The directing staff
implementation plan for AZP	implementation plan	doesn't deem a PMO
	will help AZP	necessary within the
	transition to installing	organization
	a PMO	

(Source, Author 2019)

## 3.5 Deliverables

Cambridge Business English Dictionary defines a deliverable as something that can be provided or achieved as a result of a process. The deliverables for this research are shown in the table below.

## **Chart 7 Deliverables**

Objectives	Deliverables	
Analyze different PMO styles, their	Analysis Report showing	
characteristics and functions, and determine the	details on different PMO Styles	
most suitable for the AZP		
Perform a maturity analysis to determine AZP its	Maturity Analysis Report	
organizational needs.		

32
Analysis report on the suitable
PMO and its position in AZPs
organization structure
Structured implementation plan
divided into three phases, short
term, midterm, and longterm,
shown in a MS Project layout

(Source, Author 2019)

### 4 RESULTS

### 4.1 Project Management Office Analysis

A Project Management Office is defined as a group or an organizational department within an organization that defines and maintains standards for project management. The Project management office (PMO) is a unit or department within organizations to centrally facilitate, manage, and control organizational projects through developing and maintaining suitable processes and practices. (Kerzner, 2009). They have been around since the 1800's, where they were used as a national governance within the agricultural industry and have evolved over time. Today they can be labeled as a dynamic entity used to solve specific issues within organizations, with responsibilities ranging from providing project management support to directly managing one or more projects. There are three (3) types of PMOs: Supportive PMOs, Controlling PMOs, and Directing PMOs. Each one is different in their roles, degrees of control and influence within their organization.



Figure 6 Project Management Office (PMO) (Source: Bakkah Inc., 2019)

### 4.1.1 Supportive PMOs

Supportive PMOs have a consultative role. This means that they mostly function in the role of a consultant and have a low degree of control. They provide templates, the best practices, training, access to information, and lessons learned from other projects. (PMBOK, sixth edition)

#### 4.1.2 Controlling PMOs

Controlling PMOs have a supportive role. This means that they provide support to the organization and have a moderate degree of control. To provide support they are required to be compliant in different ways. (PMBOK, sixth edition)

#### 4.1.3 Directive PMOs

Directive PMOs have a directing role. This means that they take control of projects by directly managing them. They have a high degree of control, where project managers are assigned and report to the PMO. (PMBOK, sixth edition)

#### 4.1.4 Most Suitable PMO for AZP

All PMO's have their functions and can have an impact on an organization's structure. The most suitable PMO for AZP, based on the definitions given above, is a combination of a supportive and a directive PMO. This PMO combination will install a new unit into AZP's organizational structure in between the Directing Team and the current Project Managers. (See explanation in Ch. 4.3). What this will create is less room for error from the Project Managers, and a larger view on projects ("extra set of eyes"). The project managers will be working directly with the Facility Department and Real Estate Department to oversee small and large projects ranging from new construction to medical gas installations. The PMO will give support when necessary and be in control at all times.

Per definition, a Project Management Office (PMO) is a unit or department within organizations to centrally facilitate, manage, and control organizational projects through developing and maintaining suitable processes and practices. (Kerzner, 2009). AZP has several Project Managers within its organizational structure, who directly manage and take control of projects. Installing a combination of a supporting and directive PMO within AZP's organizational structure will cause the following:

 All projects will be controlled by the PMO, instead of by Project Managers only. This will increase the planning accuracy of projects in terms of budget, schedule, and resources. And therefor ensure projects to finish according to the planned schedule and cost.

- Project Managers will report to the PMO, instead of the Directing Team directly. Meetings can be on weekly basis.
- Project Managers can work from standardized processes. In comparison to project managers working with different processes, when installing a PMO, the organization can work with the same standardized processes.

## 4.2 Maturity Analysis

Two colleagues of the Real Estate department were asked to partake in this analysis. The chart below shows the Maturity Analysis Survey that was taken to determine the maturity of AZP. This survey resulted in different maturity levels for each knowledge area. These are explained in further detail below.

	Project Ma	nagement M	Aaturity Sur	vey			R
No	PMI Knowledge Areas		Kerzner P	M Maturity	Levels		ES
	Levels	Lvl 1	Lvl 2	Lvl 3	Lvl 4	Lvl 5	Ū
	Level Description	Common language	Common pro- cesses	Singular metho- dology	Bench mar- king	Con tinuous Improve -ment	L T S
	Project	Integration I	Managemer	nt			1
1	Develop project charter	1					
2	Develop project management plan		2				
3	Direct and manage project work		2				
4	Monitor and control project work		2				
5	Perform integrated change control		2				
6	Close project or phase			3			
	Proje	ct Scope Ma	nagement				2
7	Plan scope management		2				]
8	Collect requirements		2				
9	Define scope		2				
10	Create WBS		2				1

### **Chart 8 Project Management Maturity Survey/Results**

11	Validate scope			3			
12	Control scope			3			
	Proje	ct Time Mar	nagement				1
13	Plan schedule management			3			
14	Activity definition		2				
15	Activity sequencing		2				
16	Estimate activity resource		2				
17	Estimate activity duration		2				
18	Schedule development			3			
19	Schedule control			3			
	Projec	t Quality Ma	anagement		1		1
20	Plan quality management	1					
21	Perform quality assurance	1					
22	Quality control	1					
	Project Hur	nan Resour	ce Manager	nent	1	I	3
23	Plan HRM			3			
24	Acquire project team			3			
25	Develop project team			3			
26	Manage project team			3			
	Project Cor	nmunicatio	ns Manager	nent	1	I	4
27	Plan communications management				4		
28	Manage communications				4		
29	Control communications				4		
	Proje	ect Risk Mar	agement				1
30	Plan risk management	1					
31	Identify risks		2				
32	Perform qualitative risk analysis	1					
33	Perform quantitative risk analysis	1					
34	Plan risk responses		2				
35	Control risks		2				
	Project S	Stakeholder	Manageme	nt			3
36	Identify stakeholders				4		
							_

37	Plan stakeholder management			4	
38	Manage stakeholder engagement		3		
39	Control stakeholder engagement		3		

(Source, Author 2019)

### **Chart 9 Project Management Maturity Results**

Maturity Analysis		Kerzner PM Maturity Levels
PMI KNOWLEDGE AREAS	RESULTS	Level Description
Project Integration Management	1	Common Language
Project Scope Management	2	Common Processes
Project Time Management	2	Common Processes
Project Quality Management	1	Common Language
Project Human Resource Management	3	Singular Methodology
Project Communications Management	4	Benchmarking
Project Risk Management	1	Common Language
Project Stakeholder Management	3	Singular Methodology

(Source, Author 2019)

From the chart we can conclude the following about the maturity of each knowledge area:

### Project Integration Management

This knowledge area resulted in a maturity level 1, meaning there is very little control in the integration phase of projects. Even though the organization has an idea or understands the importance of project management processes, they are not utilized to their full extent or utilized at all.

## Project Scope Management

This knowledge area resulted in a maturity level 2. This level of maturity states that the organization has recognized and defined common processes for scope management. There is to a certain extent a common way of completing this phase of project management for projects.

#### Project Time Management

This knowledge area resulted in a maturity level 2. From the survey results, we can conclude that throughout the organization, the same processes are being used. Even though AZP is using some of the tools for time management, it does not use it to its full potential. This causes projects to not start on the set date and therefore not end within the given project time.

#### Project Quality Management

Just like the integration management knowledge area, quality management has a maturity level of 1. The quality management, assurance and control are performed at very low level. Even though the quality of the projects delivered are up to the required level, the theoretical part of the knowledge area needs to be added to AZP.

#### Project Human Resource Management

This knowledge area has resulted in a maturity level of 3. During projects, AZP works with highly skilled companies, and requires them to submit a list of workers, list of relatable projects and resumes of the project team. These are checked and controlled to ensure the company qualifies. This methodology is used throughout the whole hospital.

#### Project Communication Management

The knowledge area with the highest maturity is the communication management area. This area is utilized at all times during projects and in the planning stage of projects. All stakeholders are in regular meetings before starting the project. What level 4 teaches us, is that we have to continue improving this knowledge area to maintain the maturity level.

#### Project Risk Management

Another knowledge area with the lowest level of maturity is the risk management area. This means that risks, even if identified, are not managed correctly. Or risks that are identified are not being taken too seriously, until they actually occur. Being at level 1 means that AZP recognizes the importance of risk management principles.

#### Project Stakeholder Management

This knowledge area received a deserving level 3 maturity. As stated before, stakeholders are often in regular meetings to discuss wishes, changes, or additional work from projects. The effects of frequently meeting all stakeholders is known, but not all stakeholder management principles are being utilized.

#### 4.3 Establish PMO in AZP

As stated in Ch. 4.1.5, the most suitable PMO for this organization is a combination of a supportive and directive PMO. The figure below shows a proposed organizational chart with a position given for the PMO to be effective. The PMO will fall directly under the managing director and have the Real Estate, Facility Department, and Health Care Technology department under its provision. The PMO will consist of qualified Project Managers and oversee that project management principles and processes are used throughout different projects in the organization.





## 4.3.1 Roles and Responsibilities to AZP's PMO

In general, the PMO's roles and responsibilities are the following:

- Introduce effective project management processes for all knowledge areas
- Standardize terminology within the organization
- Implement common methodologies, processes and techniques
- Provide common tools to support the processes
- Improve and oversee project management and therefor project success

Research into the different type of PMO's their responsibilities has shown the following:

Туре	Responsibilities
Supporting PMO	- provide templates
	- provide best practices
	- provide training
	- share resources
Controlling PMO	- provide governance
	- provide conformance
Directive PMO	- directly manage and control projects
	- provide strong governance frameworks

## **Chart 10 PMO's Responsibilities**

(Source, Author 2019)

AZP's PMO will have the following responsibilities:

• Establish Project Methodologies

Within AZP's organization, there is a sense of what project management principles are and how they should be used, but there is no official methodology defined. By doing so we are establishing the foundation of processes and procedures upon which the organization will rely to the most, even after reviewing and updating when necessary. The basic project methodologies needed are: <u>Project Charter:</u> For each new project, this will show a clear outline of the project, and define the objectives and goals to its stakeholders.

<u>Governance Plan</u>: This will outline the roles and responsibilities to be assigned to each member of the project team.

<u>Risk Analysis Identification</u>: This would allow the project team to list as many potential problems as possible or deviances that have a probability of occurring and which have an impact, and their possible solution or mitigation.

<u>Communication Plan:</u> Establishes the protocol, procedure, and the methods to communicate project information and issues among members of the team.

<u>Forms and Templates</u>: This would establish the list of simplified tools, forms and templates, that the project team would use to communicate effectively, report and do record keeping. Templates such as Project Scheduling, Project budget, Simple project tracking template, daily task manager, assumptions, and risk managements templates.

## • Project Tracking

The project managers will have to track every project at all times. This includes progress reports, delays, and the impact of those on the delivery date, budget etc. The PMO has the responsibility to oversee this project tracking. This means project managers have to gather and archive project experiences, lessons learned, and reusable date for future projects.

## Project Support

The PMO will also have a supportive role. AZP is in need of a supporting mechanism to maximize its potential concerning project delivery. Support from the PMO can be on different levels.

<u>Training:</u> team members, from other departments, will have to be trained in relevant project management tools and techniques used by that department. The project managers will then ensure that these tools are utilized effectively during projects.

<u>Consultancy</u>: during projects from other department, the project managers will have to consult on project issues when necessary.

## 4.4 Develop a PMO Implementation Plan for AZP

Through online research for articles based on PMO implementation a great insight was found on how this plan could be worked on. As stated earlier the implementation plan will contain three (3) phases, each having its own subsections with deliverables. These three phases are:

## • Phase 1: short term plan

During the short term plan we have to evaluate the idea of having a PMO office within the organization structure of AZP with the different stakeholders. Seeing that there is a significant need for a PMO consulting with stakeholders will take place in short term. Consulting can consist of meetings and informational sessions about what a PMO is and what it stands for, but it can also can consist of training the directing team or potential PMO members already within AZPs organization.

## • Phase 2: mid term plan

Secondly, based on AZPs history we will have to re-evaluate the other organization structure functions. Because the roles and responsibilities of the Project Managers within the PMO are defined, it should be easy to align those already existing functions with the new methodologies. AZPs strategic plan has clear definition of what it stands for and where it is heading to.

Another part of the mid term plan is to develop templates that will be used by PMO members. The processes and procedures that are developed need to be reviewed and studied in order to implement the methodologies set out for the PMO. These process templates (communication, reporting, etc.) are important tools that should be known

and understood by all team members and team leaders.

#### • Phase 3: long term plan

The last phase of this implementation plan is to ensure that the processes and procedures are done according to the methodologies. These can be reviewed by a separate group of people that constantly review performance and adherence to the methodologies, processes and techniques. What this will create is a work environment that is used to the processes necessary to successfully finish projects. And it will also allow for the PMO to show its effectiveness within the organization.

#### 5 CONCLUSIONS

The Academic Hospital Paramaribo is the biggest and most visited hospital in Suriname. It has been operational since 1973 and since then was able to develop into a hospital with many different specialized treatments such as Cardiac disorders, ER traumas, Eye Care services, and Radiotherapy care. With the use of different research strategies, the results of this research were determined.

Firstly, different PMO's were analyzed and studied. From this research the assumption made was proven to be wrong. It was assumed that AZP would need a directive PMO, but research has proven that a combination of a supportive and directive PMO will fit better within AZP's organization structure. This PMO combination will give the necessary support, control and oversee the different Project Managers at AZP.

The maturity of the organization was the second study within this research. The maturity analysis determined that AZP is on different maturity levels based on the project management knowledge areas. The project communication management knowledge area showed the highest maturity level of 4 within the organization, compared to project quality management and project risk management who both showed a level 1 maturity. From those results I was able to conclude that there is some knowledge about project management processes, tools, and techniques within the organization, but that they are not used correctly when used if even used at all.

The PMO position was determined by first researching all three types of PMO's. Analyzing the capabilities, responsibilities, and roles of PMOs concluded that a combination of a directive and supportive PMO is suitable PMO for AZP. This was then added to the current organizational chart, creating a new organizational chart by adding a PMO in between the other departments. The new PMO is positioned under the direct management of the managing director and has a leadership position for the real estate department, facility department, and health care technologies department. What this means is that the PMO will establish methodologies for these departments, track, support and control projects and oversee that projects are completed within the set budget and time.

Lastly, a PMO implementation plan was developed as a guide for AZP's transition, if they decide to use the suggested PMO. This plan is a three phased plan with all three phases having their deliverables: short term, mid term, and long term phase. This is a continuous plan, which means that in order to continue on to the next phase, a phase has to be completed.

### 5.1 General Objectives

This research has concluded that a strategic Project Management Office within the AZP hospital is an absolute necessity. The roles of this PMO will differ from project to project. Overseeing other departments will give the PMO enough room to gather and archive project experiences, lessons learned, and reusable data for future projects. Installing a PMO within AZP will help to maximize its potential and to remain sustainable in the realization of the construction projects on the premises of the Academic Hospital.

#### 6 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on the research done during the MPM program, the following can be recommended:

1. AZP has a need to establish organization wide methodologies. These methodologies need to be defined, archived and shared throughout the organization. What this will create, is a lifestyle within the organization, compared to the situation they are in now. Project managers will use these methodologies when necessary.

2. The PMO should be responsible for implementing methodologies throughout the organization. Trainings or methodology sessions should be organized in order to get all parts of this organization, top management to lowest level of the organizational chart, accustomed to these methodologies.

3. The PMO also needs to be aware of all its responsibilities during projects. They should be in frequent, daily, contact with the directing team of AZP, where they should at first establish these roles and then discuss their adequacy or lack thereof.

4. I would highly recommend AZP to adopt a PMO within its organizational structure, because of the benefits it can have. It will optimize the results of future projects. Together with current experience the organization can grow into the structural organization it can be.

#### 7 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Project Management Institute. (2017). A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge, (PMBOK<sup>®</sup> Guide) Sixth Edition, Project Management Institute, Inc., 2017.
- Crawford, J.K. (2007). Project Management Maturity Model, Second Edition. Boca Raton, FL: Auerbach/CRC Press. https://www.pmsolutions.com/resources/view/what-is-project-managementmaturity/
- Pennypacker, James. S. & Grant, Kevin. P. (march 2003). Project Management Maturity: An Industry Benchmark, invited paper. Project Management Institute, Project Management Journal
- Sokhanvar, S., Matthews, J., & Yarlagadda, P. K. D. V. (2014). Management of project knowledge at various maturity levels in PMO, a theoretical framework. Paper presented at Project Management Institute Research and Education Conference, Phoenix, AZ. Newtown Square, PA: Project Management Institute.
- Mateen Muhammad (2015). Measuring Project Management Maturity A framework for better and efficient Projects delivery. Master of Science Thesis in the Master's Programme International Project Management. Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden, 2015.
- Primary and Secondary Sources In *University of New South Wales (UNSW) Library* – Retrieved from https://www.library.unsw.edu.au/study/informationresources/primary-and-secondary-sources
- Research Method (n). In *Cambridge Business English Dictionary* (2019). Retrieved from https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/research-method
- Assumption (n). In *Cambridge Business English Dictionary* (2019). Retrieved from https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/assumption
- Constraint (n). In *Cambridge Business English Dictionary* (2019). Retrieved from https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/constraint
- Deliverable (n) In *Cambridge Business English Dictionary* (2019). Retrieved from https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/deliverable
- Maturity (n) In *Cambridge Business English Dictionary (2019)*. Retrieved from https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/maturity

## 8 APPENDICES

## 8.1 Appendix 1: FGP Charter

PROJECT CHARTER		
Formalizes the project start a	nd confers the pro	pject manager with the authority to assign
company resources to the pro	oject activities. Be	nefits: it provides a clear start and well defined
Date		Project Name:
May 13 <sup>th</sup> 2019		Designing a Strategic PMO for the Academic Hospital
		Paramaribo
Knowledge Areas / Proce	esses	(AZP) Applicacion Area (Sector / Activity)
Knowledge areas:	Process groups:	- Construction
- Integration Management;	- Initiation	- Safety
- Scope Management;	- Planning	
- Time Management;	- Execution	
- Quality Management;	- Monitoring	
Management:	- Closing	
- Communication Management,	Clocking	
- Risk Management;		
- Stakeholder Management		
Start date		Finish date
May 13 <sup>th</sup> 2019		November 20 <sup>th</sup> 2019
Project Objectives (gene	ral and specific	
To develop a Strategic Project N Project Management skils in co	Management Office	for the Academic Hospital Paramaribo to further utilize done by and for the hospital.
<ul> <li>Specific objectives:</li> <li>Analyze different PMO style for the AZP</li> <li>Perform a maturity analysis</li> <li>Establish the suitable PMO' improve the maturity AZP</li> <li>Develop a PMO implementa</li> </ul>	es, their characterist to determine AZP i s position, roles, ar ation plan for AZP	tics and functions, and determine the most suitable ts organizational needs nd level of authority in the organization, in order to
Project purpose or justif	ication (merit a	nd expected results)
The Academic Hospital Parama is a semi government owned ho over 300.000 treatments per yea mission and vision. These center Center for whole Suriname (AM Medical Research, Medical Edu Restructuring: financial management Integrated Organization Setting up Centers of Et Decentralization of care	ribo is the largest h ospital in Suriname ar. In august 2013 the or on the transforma AC-SU). This trans cation & Paramedic stabilization and cons building –and cons xcellence (CEO)	ospital in Suriname. Located in the capital, Paramaribo, it with an annual admission of 23.000 patients and counts he AZP completed its new Strategic Plan with the SP2020 ation from the Academic Hospital to an Academic Medical formation will be founded on three pillars: Patient Care, cal Training with the focus on the following: st struction plan
With the SP2020 in mind, the p AZP, which can effectively direc with the use of Project Manag documentation and templates t construction projects and will be <b>Description of Product o</b> deliverables	ourpose of this rese t and manage differ ement Processes to be used by the enefit AZP in every <b>r Service to be</b>	earch is to design and implement a suitable PMO for the rent construction projects during the transformation phase and Procedures. This research will result in specific PMO during level of planning and execution. generated by the Project – Project final

•	Project Charter	
•	Scope Management Plan	

- Scope Management Plan
   Integration Management Plan
- Integration Management Plan
   Time Management Plan
- Ime Management Plan
- Quality Management Plan

#### Assumptions

- It is assumed that the student will get the proper support from the AZP directing staff be it in form of permission or required information.

•

.

.

- It is assumed that there will be no change in organization structure during the study of this research
- It is assumed that the PMO will be implemented after conducting this research

#### Constraints

- Not getting sufficient information or feedback from necesarry parties
- Change in Strategic Plan

#### Preliminary risks

- If permission to conduct this research is not given or hindred, there might be an impact on the time and quality of the project.

- If there is a change in Strategic Plan within the organization it might have a negative impact on the research and its implementation.

#### Budget

There are no costs during the research, but after implementation there will be a budget necesarry for office space, office furniture, etc. for the new PMO.

#### **Milestones and dates**

Milestones	Start Date	Finish Date
Signed Project Charter	13 – 05 – 2019	16 – 06 – 2019
Project WBS	13 – 05 – 2019	19 – 05 – 2019
Introduction Chapter	20 – 05 – 2019	26 – 05 – 2019
Theoretical Framework Chapter	27 – 05 – 2019	02 - 06 - 2019
Methodoligical Framework Chapter	03 - 06 - 2019	09 - 06 - 2019
Executive Summary	10 – 06 - 2019	16 – 06 – 2019
Annexes, Bibliography	10 – 06 - 2019	16 - 06 - 2019

#### **Relevant historical information**

The Academic Hospital Paramaribo in Suriname has been operational since September 18<sup>th</sup>, 1965 but had it's original opening in March 1966 under the name "The Central Hospital". Because of the proclamation of the Faculty of Medical Science the name of the hospital changed to the Academic Hospital Paramaribo (AZP) in 1969. Since 1973 the Hospital was put under the authority of the Suriname Government, namely the Ministry of Health.

The AZP is operational at 6 different locations in Paramaribo and has over 70 buildings. With 26 medical wards and 2 laboratories the hospital handles around 300.000 treatments per year, more than 50.000 emergency care cases, and hass a bed capacity of 510 beds with 97% capacity. The hospital has 2100 employees including medical specialists, physicians, nurses, nursing personnel, and administrative personnel. The AZP is the biggest hospital in Suriname and for some specialized treatments such as Cardiac disorder, ER trauma, Eye Care and Radiotherapy the AZP is the only hospital with the medical specialism.

#### **Stakeholders**

#### Direct stakeholders:

- Academic Hospital of Paramaribo
- Government of Suriname Ministry of Health
- Current AZP workforce that can climb on their professional ladder

#### Indirect stakeholders:

- Future PMO members
- Construction companies

 Project Manager: Valies Janice H. C.
 Signature: J.H.C.V

 Authorized by:
 Signature:

**Resource Management Plan** 

Stakeholder Management Plan

**Risk Management Plan** 

**Communication Management Plan** 



# 8.3 Appendix 3: FGP Schedule

D	Task Mod	le Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	05May1 (19May1 (02Jum1 %) 16Jum1 % 10Jum1 % 14Jum1 % (23Jum1 %) 11 Aug1 (25Aug1 (08Sap1 %) 25Sap1 % 06Cam1 (20Cam1 %) 15Mav1 %) 11 Aug1 (15 Mas1 m M = 1 m M =
1	-	FINAL GRADUATION PROJECT	178 days	Mon 13-05-19	Wed15-01-20	
2	-	Graduation Seminar	25 days	Mon 13-05-19	Fri 14-06-19	
3	-	FGP Deliverables	20 days	Mon 13-05-19	Fri 07-06-19	
4	-	Project Charter	5 days	Mon 13-05-19	Fri 17-05-19	
5	-	WBS	5 days	Man 13-05-19	Fri 17-05-19	
6		Chapter I - Introduction	5 days	Mon 20-05-19	Fri 24-05-19	
7	-	Chapter II - Theoretical Framework	5 days	Mon 27-05-19	Fri 31-05-19	
8	-	Chapter III - Methodoligical Framework	5 days	Mon 03-06-19	Fri 07-06-19	
9		Amexes	15 days	Mon 20-05-19	Fri 07-06-19	
10	-	Bibliography	5 days	Mon 03-06-19	Fri 07-06-19	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	-	Schedule	5 days	Mon 20-05-19	Fri 24-05-19	
12		Graduation Seminar Approval	5 days	Man 10-06-19	Fri 14-06-19	
13		Tutoring Process	78 days	Mon 29-07-19	Wed13-11-19	
14		Tutor	23 days	Mon 29-07-19	Wed 28-08-19	
15		Tutor Assignment	1 day	Man 29-07-19	Mon 29-07-19	
16		Communication	2 days	Tue 30-07-19	Wed 31-07-19	
17		Tutorship Extension	1 mon	Thu 01-08-19	Wed 28-08-19	
18		Adjustments of Previous Chapters	10 days	Thu 29-08-19	Wed 11-09-19	
19		Chapter IV	35 days	Thu 12-09-19	Wed 30-10-19	
20		Chapter V	5 days	Thu 31-10-19	Wed 06-11-19	
21	-	Chapter VI	5 days	Thu 07-11-19	Wed 13-11-10	
22		Tutor Approval	5 days	Thu 14-11-10	Wed 20-11-10	
72		Parting by Paviawar	15 days	Thu 21-11-10	Wed11.12.10	
24		Reviewers Assignment Request	5 dave	Thu 21-11-19	Wed 27-11-19	
75	<u> </u>	Assistant of Two Devicement	2 days	Thu 21, 11, 10	6633.41.40	
26		Assignment of two Reviewers	2 days	Mon 25-11-19	Tue 26-11-19	
20	<u> </u>	CONTINUMERIAN to Reviewers	2 days	Wed 27.11.10	M/md 27-11-10	,,,,
20	<u> </u>	Par Submission to Reviewers	10 day	Thu 28 11 10	Wed111240	
20	<u> </u>	Reviewers work	10 days	Thu 28-11-19	Wed11-12-19	
10	-	Keviewer 1	Tudays	Thu 28-11-19	Wed11-12-19	
30		FGP Reading	9 days	Inu 28-11-19	Tue 10-12-19	
31	<u> </u>	Reader 1 Report	1 day	Wed 11-12-19	Wed 11-12-19	
32	<u> </u>	Keviewer 2	Tudays	Thu 28-11-19	Wed 11-12-19	
35	-	Par Keading	a gala	mu 28-11-19	Tue 10-12-19	
34	-	Reader 2 Report	1 day	Web 11-12-19	Web 11-12-19	
55	-	Adjustments	20 days	Thu 12-12-19	Wed08-01-20	
30	-	Report for Reviewers	9 days	Mul 25 42 45	Tue 24-12-19	
5/	-	FGP Update	1 day	Wed 25-12-19	Wed 25-12-19	
10	2	Second Review by Reviewers	Tu days	Thu 00 04 00	Wed 08-01-20	
59	-	Presentation to the Board of Examiners	5 days	mu 09-01-20	wed15-01-20	
40	-	Final Review by The Board	2 days	thu 09-01-20	Fn 10-01-20	
41	-	HGP Grade Report	3 days	Man 13-01-20	Wed 15-01-20	
42	-	FGPEND	0 days	Wed 15-01-20	Wed 15-01-20	6 <sup>7</sup> 15-01
		Task	Project Summa	ry I	Manual Task	Start only C Deadline 🖡
Proje	xt: FGP_S	chedule Split	Inactive Task		Duration only	Ritish only D Progress
Date	Wed 20-	11-19 Miletone •	Iractive Miesto	ine 0	Manual Summ	any Rollup Edomal Table Manual Progress
8		summary	mactive summ	ay I	i - Manual Sumi	NY COETTA MISTOR V

#### 8.4 Appendix 4: FGP Approval Letter

Ing. Evelyn Hernández Rojas FGP Tutor

Dear Ms. Hernández,

Undersigned, Naduschka Nyala Straal, with identity card number, FG009014V, with an academic Mo-A degree in English, graduated in 2017, declare that I have reviewed and corrected Janice Valies her Final Graduation Project (FGP) entitled *"DESIGNING A STRATEGIC PMO FOR THE* ACADEMIC HOSPITAL PARAMARIBO (AZP)" on November 19, 2019.

As requested, I have reviewed this document for writing, spelling and grammar, and am hereby declaring that this Final Graduation Project meets the proper qualities and is corresponding to a master's level work.

Yours sincerely,

Naduschka Nyala Straal MO-A Degree in English (equivalent to Bachelors degree in English) English teacher

Attached: - Resume – Straal N.

# 8.5 Appendix 5: Linguist Credentials

PERSONAL INFORM	IATION		
Name:	Naduschka Straal		
Address:	Verl. Gemeenelandsw	eg #43	
Residence:	Zorg & Hoop	÷.	
Phonenumber:	400512		
Mobile:	+5978569517		
E-mail:	dushy41088@hotmail	nl	
Date of birth:	04-10-1988		
Drivers License:	BE		
SKILLS			
Language Skills	Reading	Speech	Written
English	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dutch	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sranan Tongo	Yes	Yes	No
Computer Skills	Basic	Experienced	Expert
MS Word	X	x	1979
MS Excel	x	x	
MS PowerPoint	v	v	
NIS FOWERFOM	÷	A	
WORK EXPERIENCE			
WORK EXPERIENCE 2010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 2011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I April 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mal 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho	ider) l) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher)	ger) tive Assistant)
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I April 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rou 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mal 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES	nder) l) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher)	ger) tive Assistant)
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I opril 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d EDUCATION & COU Educational	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mal 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES Educ	nder) I) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher) ation	ger) tive Assistant) Year
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I opril 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d EDUCATION & COU Educational Institution	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mal 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES Educ	nder) I) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher) ation	ger) tive Assistant) Year
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I opril 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d EDUCATION & COU Educational Instutution Mr. Dr. J. C. De	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mal 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES Educ VWO – 1	nder) I) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher) ation P (Graduated)	ger) tive Assistant) Year Okt 2004- Aug
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I opril 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d EDUCATION & COU Educational Instutution Mr. Dr. J. C. De Miranda Lyceum	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mal 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES Educ VWO – 1	nder) I) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher) ation P (Graduated)	ger) tive Assistant) Year Okt 2004- Aug 2010
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I opril 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d EDUCATION & COU Educational Instutution Mr. Dr. J. C. De Miranda Lyceum Het Instituut voor de Opleiding van Leraren (IOL)	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mal 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES Educ VWO – 1 HBO – 1	nder) l) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher) ation P (Graduated) Engels (Graduated)	ger) tive Assistant) Year Okt 2004- Aug 2010 Nov 2010 tot Nov 2016
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I opril 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d EDUCATION & COU Educational Instutution Mr. Dr. J. C. De Miranda Lyceum Het Instituut voor de Opleiding van Leraren (IOL) Educational	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mal 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES Educ VWO – 1 HBO – 1 HBO – 1	nder) l) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher) ation P (Graduated) Engels (Graduated)	ger) tive Assistant) Year Okt 2004- Aug 2010 Nov 2010 tot Nov 2016 Year
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I april 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d EDUCATION & COU Educational Instutution Mr. Dr. J. C. De Miranda Lyceum Het Instituut voor de Opleiding van Leraren (IOL) Educational Instutution	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mal 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES Educ VWO – 1 HBO – 1 HBO – 1	nder) l) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher) ation P (Graduated) Engels (Graduated)	ger) tive Assistant) Year Okt 2004- Aug 2010 Nov 2010 tot Nov 2016 Year
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I april 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d EDUCATION & COU Educational Instutution Mr. Dr. J. C. De Miranda Lyceum Het Institut voor de Opleiding van Leraren (IOL) Educational Instutution	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mall 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES Educ VWO – 1 HBO – 1 HBO – 1 Training Customer Servi	nder) l) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher) ation P (Graduated) Engels (Graduated) ; / course ice Training	ger) tive Assistant) Vear Okt 2004- Aug 2010 Nov 2010 tot Nov 2016 Year 2013
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I April 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d EDUCATION & COU Educational Instutution Mr. Dr. J. C. De Miranda Lyceum Het Institut voor de Opleiding van Leraren (IOL) Educational Instutution SMART-Suriname	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mall 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES Educ VWO – 1 HBO – 1 HBO – 1 Training Customer Servi Office Manage	nder) l) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher) ation P (Graduated) Engels (Graduated) ; / course ice Training ment	ger) tive Assistant) Vear Okt 2004- Aug 2010 Nov 2010 tot Nov 2016 Year 2013 2016
WORK EXPERIENCE 010 – 2011: Telenamic ( 011 – 2012: Sol Zinnia I April 2012 – October 201 October 2013 – April 201 January 2017 – Present d EDUCATION & COU Educational Instutution Mr. Dr. J. C. De Miranda Lyceum Het Institut voor de Opleiding van Leraren (IOL) Educational Instutution SMART-Suriname NV SITT	Call Agent) Pumping station (All-rour 3: Juicy (Hermitage Mall 6: Sociale Vereniging de lay: Christus Koningscho RSES Educ VWO – 1 HBO – 1 HBO – 1 Training Customer Servi Office Manage: Office Secretar	nder) I) (Saleswoman/Mana Eenheid (Administra ol (English Teacher) ation P (Graduated) Engels (Graduated) (/ course ice Training ment Y	ger) tive Assistant) Vear Okt 2004- Aug 2010 Nov 2010 tot Nov 2016 Year 2013 2016 2016